



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	EU DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		1293,1071D4	9655	
10/020,945	12/19/2001	Jung-Wan Ko	1293.10/11/14	7000	
21171	90 09/17/2003		EXAM	INER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005					
			CHU, KIM KWOK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003		ARTONIT		
			2653		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/200	3 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/020,945 Examiner Kim-Kwok CHU 2653 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - PLY FILED 7/29/03 (paper 7) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

THE REPLY FILED 7/29/03 (paper 7) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (3) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (3) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (4) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (5) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (6) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (6) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (7) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (8) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (8) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (8) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for allowance; (8) a timely filed Request for Continued condition for Continued cond				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. The period for reply expires later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. One event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	ŀ			
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked is a checked and checked is a check	on r			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2 M. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),	ļ			
which the issue of new matter (see Note below):				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	t			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(e): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ı ı			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	l			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ł			
Claim(s) allowed:	,			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6,7, 9 and 10</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,8 and 11-14</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

1. the new claim 15 has a feature "the write portection information being redundantly stored in a plurality of locations in the Lead-in area" which requires further consideration because this feature is not in the original claims 1-14.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. Applicant states that the prior art of Maruyama does not teach the "finalization for writing on the Lead-in area and the Lead-out area has been completed". Accordingly, finalization of the Lead-in area and the Lead-out area means closing a recording section so that it can be read/written. In other words, it is an inherent feature for addressing the recording section;
- 2. Applicant states that the prior art of Maruyama does not teach "the claimed write protection state is representative of at least the entire user data area of the recording medium be in a write protected state" (page 3 of the Remarks, last 2 lines. However, Applicant does not claim such feature as stated; and
- 3. Applicant's write protection state which "ensuring the protection of the data recorded on the recording medium from unwanted overwriting or erasing." (claim 1, last 2 lines) can be considered as Maruyama's "archive flag" which is used to prevent an unwanted overwriting or erasing of particular files or programs .

La 9/11/03 Examiner: Kim CHU

AU 2653

(703) 305-3032

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600